

Thm 1. <sup>Proof</sup> Let  $A, B \in E^2$ .

Let  $X \in \overline{AB}$

Then, by defn. of  $\overline{AB}$ ,  $\underline{AX} + \underline{XB} = \underline{AB}$ .

Now, by Axiom 1 (part b)

$$\underline{AX} = \underline{XA}$$

$$\underline{XB} = \underline{BX}$$

$$\underline{AB} = \underline{BA}$$

so  $\underline{XA} + \underline{BX} = \underline{BA}$

and  $\underline{BX} + \underline{XA} = \underline{BA}$

→ so, by definition of  $\overline{BA}$ ,  $X \in \overline{BA}$

Thus  $\overline{AB} \subseteq \overline{BA}$

Similarly, we can show  $\overline{BA} \subseteq \overline{AB}$

And therefore  $\overline{AB} = \overline{BA}$

\* To show subsets:  $S \subseteq T$

You start with: Let  $X \in S$

then do stuff to prove

$X \in T$

and you conclude  $S \subseteq T$

\* If you can do everything the same,  
but change the names of the points/  
variables and get a new result, you  
are allowed to use the word "similarly"  
instead of rewriting it all.

\* To prove two sets are equal  $S = T$   
You have to prove both  $S \subseteq T$   
and  $T \subseteq S$

this wording usually means  
we are trying to get a contradiction

### Thm 3a proof

Given points with order  $A-B-C-D$

Then by definition

$$\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} + \underline{CD} = \underline{AD} \quad (1)$$

By Ax I (c) we know

$$\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} \geq \underline{AD} \quad (2)$$

→ could be  $>$  or  $=$

← Suppose  $\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} > \underline{AC}$   
then  $\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} + \underline{CD} > \underline{AC} + \underline{CD}$   
add same to both sides

$$\text{so } \underline{AD} > \underline{AC} + \underline{CD}$$

substitute line (1)

But by Ax I (c)  $\underline{AD} \leq \underline{AC} + \underline{CD}$   
which is a contradiction.

Hence  $\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} > \underline{AC}$  is false

$$\text{so } \underline{AB} + \underline{BC} = \underline{AC} \quad \text{because of (2)}$$

By definition of  $\overline{AC}$ ,  $B \in \overline{AC}$   $\blacksquare$

Other hints / notes:

Theorem 2's proof is very similar to theorem 1's proof

—  
Theorem 3b's proof is very similar to 3a's proof.

—  
About the "corollary to Thm 3":

Given  $A \dashv B \dashv C \dashv D$

then  $D \dashv C \dashv B \dashv A$  (by Thm 2)

so  $C \in \overline{DB}$  and  $C \in \overline{DA}$  (Thm 3)

and  $C \in \overline{BD}$  and  $C \in \overline{AD}$  (Thm 1)

—  
Theorem 4 can be proved using Thms 1-3.  
You don't need any new algebra.

Theorem 5 proof:

$$\overleftrightarrow{AC} = \{X \in E^2 \mid \underline{AX} + \underline{XC} = \underline{AC} \text{ OR } \underline{AC} + \underline{CX} = \underline{AX} \text{ OR } \underline{xA} + \underline{AC} = \underline{xC}\}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{AB} = \{X \in E^2 \mid \underline{AX} + \underline{XB} = \underline{AB} \text{ OR } \underline{AB} + \underline{BX} = \underline{AX} \text{ OR } \underline{xA} + \underline{AB} = \underline{xB}\}$$

If  $B \in \overleftrightarrow{AC}$ , then

Case 1

$$\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} = \underline{AC}$$

and

$$\underline{AB} + \underline{BC} = \underline{AC}$$

means  
 $C \in \overleftrightarrow{AB}$

Case 2

$$\underline{AC} + \underline{CB} = \underline{AB}$$

and

$$\underline{AC} + \underline{CB} = \underline{AB}$$

means  
 $C \in \overleftrightarrow{AB}$

Case 3

$$\underline{BA} + \underline{AC} = \underline{BC}$$

$\times$  so

$$\underline{CA} + \underline{AB} = \underline{CB}$$

(by ax.1)

so  $C \in \overleftrightarrow{AB}$

therefore  $C \in \overleftrightarrow{AB}$

note's

to prove by cases,  
each case has to lead to  
either the conclusion or a contradiction

HW% Write proof of 3b to turn in

and  
prove theorem. (discussion)